

$L^p(p > 2)$ -strong convergence in averaging principle for two time-scales stochastic evolution equations driven by Lévy process

Bin Pei, Yong Xu*

Department of Applied Mathematics, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, 710072, China

**Corresponding author's E-mail: hsux3@nwpu.edu.cn, binpei@hotmail.com*

Abstract

The main goal of the work is to study averaging principle for two time-scales stochastic evolution equations driven by Lévy process. The solution of reduced equation with modified coefficient is derived to approximate the slow component of original equation under suitable conditions. It is shown that the slow component can strongly converge to the solution of corresponding reduced equation in $L^p(p > 2)$ strong convergence sense. Our key and novelty is how to cope with the changes caused by Lévy process and higher order moments.

Keywords: $L^p(p > 2)$ -strong convergence, Two time-scales, Stochastic evolution equations, Lévy process, Stochastic averaging principle.

1. Introduction

Many complex systems in practical science and engineering can be described as singularly perturbed systems with separated two time-scales driven by random perturbations, for example, chemical reaction dynamics [1, 2], electronic circuits [3, 4] and laser systems [5, 6]. In most of cases, people are only interested in investigating the time evolution of the slow component, but it cannot be done directly, unless we solve the full two time-scales equations. Although the computer is now very advanced, it cannot deal with such a disparity of scales. Averaging method can reduce computational load. In view of this, the averaging principle which is an effective tool to analyze the two time-scales dynamical systems with random perturbations turns into more and more important and popular to be applied to

10 reduce the dimension of the original systems.

The theory of stochastic averaging principle has a long and rich history. Let us mention a few of them. In 1968, Khasminskii [12] firstly proved the averaging principle of stochastic differential equations (SDEs) driven by Brownian noise. Since then, the averaging principle has been an active research field on which there is a great deal of literature. It is worthy quoting the work by Freidlin and Wentzell [13] who provide a mathematically rigorous overview of fundamental stochastic averaging method. After that, Golec and Ladde [20], Veretennikov [14], Xu and co-workers [15, 17, 16, 30, 38]

proposed the averaging principle to stochastic dynamical systems in the sense of mean-square which implies the convergence in probability. Furthermore, L^2 (also called mean-square) -strong averaging principle for several types of slow-fast stochastic dynamical systems driven by Brownian noise has been investigated by Freidlin [13], Golec [40], Wang [24], Fu and co-workers [32, 25, 23]. Givon [21] and Liu [22] established an averaging principle for two time-scale jump-diffusion process in the sense of mean-square. Quite recently, L^2 -strong averaging principle for slow-fast stochastic partial differential equations with Poisson random measures was established by Xu and Miao [31].

As a matter of fact, in some circumstances, Lévy type perturbations can capture some large moves and unpredictable event in such diverse areas as mathematics finance, statistical physics and life science [33, 27, 26, 10, 9], while purely Brownian type perturbations can not do it. It is well known that SEEs with Lévy type perturbations may be more appropriate to model a great amount of complex systems. Stochastic evolution equations (SEEs) which are widely used to describe many interesting phenomena in physics, biology, chemistry, economics, finance and others [29, 10, 11, 33, 36, 37, 18, 34, 46] and up to now, many scholars have extensively investigated the existence and uniqueness for solutions of SEEs driven by Lévy type perturbations. For example, Albeverio et al [41] investigated the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions to stochastic heat equation driven by Poisson jumps. Hausenblas [26, 42] and Knoche [43] and Mandrekar and Rudiger [44] considered the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions to SEEs of jump type. A series of useful theories and methods have been presented to explore SEEs with Lévy type perturbations [10, 33, 27], among them, the averaging method has been an important and useful tool to reduce SEEs driven by Lévy type perturbations. Up to now, the work on averaging principle mainly discussed L^2 -strong convergence for two time-scale jump-diffusion process which does not imply $L^p(p > 2)$ -strong convergence in general. Generally, people need to estimate the higher order moments which possesses a good robustness and can be applied in computations in statistic, finance and other aspects. However, to the best of authors' knowledge, the averaging principle for two time-scales SEEs with Lévy process has not been considered in $L^p(p > 2)$ -strong convergence sense. It is drastically different because of the appearance of the jump.

Therefore, based on above discussions, we will make an attempt to establish an averaging principle for two time-scales SEEs driven by Lévy process in $L^p(p > 2)$ -strong convergence mean. In this paper, our key and novelty is how to cope with the changes caused by Lévy process and higher order moments.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some notation and the formulation of the problem. Next, we give the mild solutions to SEEs with Lévy process and some suitable hypotheses on the coefficients. In Section 4, the main result, Theorem 1, is stated. We derive the stochastic averaging principle for two time-scales SEEs driven by Lévy process.

50 **2. Preliminaries**

In this section, we introduce notations, definitions and preliminary results which we require to establish $L^p(p > 2)$ -strong convergence in stochastic averaging principle for two time-scales SEEs driven by Lévy process.

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert spaces with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, such that

$$V \subset \mathcal{H} \subset V^*$$

where V is a dense subspace of \mathcal{H} and the injections are continuous. V^* is the dual space of V . We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the dual pairs between V and V^* [45].

Assume that $\{S_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an analytic semigroup with its generator $\mathbb{A} : V \rightarrow V^*$. Suppose that $0 \in \rho(\mathbb{A})$, where $\rho(\mathbb{A})$ is the resolvent set of \mathbb{A} , then it is possible to define the fractional power $(-\mathbb{A})^\alpha$, for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ as a closed linear operator on its domain $D(-\mathbb{A})^\alpha$. It is well known that there exist
60 $M \geq 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|S_t\| \leq Me^{\lambda t}$, for each $t \geq 0$. For the theory of strongly continuous semigroup, we refer to the work of Pazy[8].

Furthermore, the subspace $D(-\mathbb{A})^\alpha$ is dense in \mathcal{H} , and the expression

$$\|\Lambda\|_\alpha = \|(-\mathbb{A})^\alpha \Lambda\|, \Lambda \in D(-\mathbb{A})^\alpha,$$

defines a norm in $D(-\mathbb{A})^\alpha$ [36, 37, 39]. If $\|\Lambda\|_\alpha$ represents the space $D(-\mathbb{A})^\alpha$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$, then through Theorem 6.13 in [8], for $0 < \alpha \leq 1, 0 < t \leq T$, there exists $C_\alpha > 0$, the following properties

$$\|(-\mathbb{A})^\alpha S_t\| \leq C_\alpha t^{-\alpha},$$

are well known.

Definition 1.[27] Let $L(t)$ be a stochastic process defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$, if

- (i) $L(0) = 0$ (a.s.),
- (ii) $L(t)$ has independent and stationary increments,
- 70 (iii) $L(t)$ is stochastically continuous, i.e. for all $\tau > 0$ and for all $s \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow s} P(|L(t) - L(s)| > \tau) = 0,$$

then $L(t)$ is a Lévy process.

The distribution of a Lévy process is characterized by its characteristic function, which is given by the Lévy-Khintchine formula: If $L(t)$ is a Lévy process, then its characteristic function $\phi_L(\tilde{\theta})$ is given by

$$\phi_L(\tilde{\theta}) := \exp(\varrho i\tilde{\theta} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\tilde{\theta}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus 0} (e^{i\tilde{\theta}z} - 1 - i\tilde{\theta}zI_{z<1})v(dz)),$$

where $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma \geq 0$, I is the indicator function. v is a non-negative Borel measure defined on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}))$, which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus 0} (|z|^2 \wedge 1)v(dz) < \infty.$$

This measure v is the so-called Lévy jump measure of the Lévy process $L(t)$, and (ϱ, σ^2, v) is defined as the generating triplet of Lévy process.

It is known to all that a Lévy process is completely determined by the Lévy-Khintchine formula [27, 28] and the Lévy process $L(t)$ in \mathbb{R} has the following Lévy-Itô decomposition formula: [27, 30, 19]

$$L(t) = b_1t + W(t) + \int_{|z|<c} z\tilde{N}(t, dz) + \int_{|z|\geq c} zN(t, dz),$$

where $b_1 = E(L(1) - \int_{|z|\geq c} zN(1, dz))$, $W(t)$ is a Brownian motion on \mathbb{R} , $N(t, dz)$ is Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathbb{R}\setminus 0)$ and $\tilde{N}(t, dz)$ is the compensated Poisson random measure for $L(t)$,

$$\tilde{N}(dt, dz) := N(dt, dz) - v(dz)dt,$$

where c is a constant with $c \in [0, \infty)$.

3. Two Time-scales SEEs with Lévy Process

In this artical, our aim is to study $L^p(p > 2)$ -strong convergence in the averaging principle uniformly in time for two time-scales SEEs driven by Lévy process under globally Lipschitz condition, which implies the convergence in probability.

Firstly, we will introduce the two time-scales SEEs driven by Lévy process described in the form:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^\epsilon = [\mathbb{A}X_t^\epsilon + a(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)]dt + b(X_t^\epsilon)dL_1(t), \\ dY_t^\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon}[\mathbb{A}Y_t^\epsilon + a'(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)]dt + b'(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)dL_2^\epsilon(t), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

with initial conditions $X_0^\epsilon = X_0, Y_0^\epsilon = Y_0, t \in [0, T], T < +\infty$. a, b, a', b' are all real-valued measurable functions. $L_1(t), L_2^\epsilon(t)$ are mutually independent Lévy process. The parameter $\epsilon(\epsilon \ll 1)$ represents

the ratio between the natural time scales of the X_t^ϵ and Y_t^ϵ variables. X_t^ϵ is called "slow component" of the above system, and Y_t^ϵ is called the "fast component" of above system.

Then, using the Lévy-Itô decomposition, we can rewrite (1) as following:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^\epsilon &= [\mathbb{A}X_t^\epsilon + f_1(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)]dt + b(X_t^\epsilon)dW_t^1 + \int_{|z|<c} b(X_t^\epsilon, z)\tilde{N}_1(dt, dz) \\ &+ \int_{|z|\geq c} b(X_t^\epsilon, z)N_1(dt, dz), \\ dY_t^\epsilon &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}[\mathbb{A}Y_t^\epsilon + f'_1(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)]dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}b'(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)dW_t^2 + \int_{|z|<c} b'(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon, z)\tilde{N}_2^\epsilon(dt, dz) \\ &+ \int_{|z|\geq c} b'(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon, z)N_2^\epsilon(dt, dz), \end{cases}$$

where $f_1 = b_1b + a$, $f'_1 = b'_1b' + a'$.

Hence, we can rewrite the above equation as the following more general form:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^\epsilon &= [\mathbb{A}X_t^\epsilon + f(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)]dt + g(X_t^\epsilon)dW_t^1 + \int_{|z|<c} h(X_t^\epsilon, z)\tilde{N}_1(dt, dz) \\ &+ \int_{|z|\geq c} \tilde{h}(X_t^\epsilon, z)N_1(dt, dz), \\ dY_t^\epsilon &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}[\mathbb{A}Y_t^\epsilon + F(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)]dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}G(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)dW_t^2 + \int_{|z|<c} H(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon, z)\tilde{N}_2^\epsilon(dt, dz) \\ &+ \int_{|z|\geq c} \tilde{H}(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon, z)N_2^\epsilon(dt, dz). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The parameter $c \in [0, \infty)$ allows us to specify what we mean by "large" and "small" jumps in special applications. The term in (2) involving large jumps is controlled by \tilde{h}, \tilde{H} respectively, which can be neglected through the technique of interlacing [27, 28], and it makes sense to focus on the study of an equation driven by continuous noise interspersed with small jumps. $\tilde{N}_1(dt, dz) := N_1(dt, dz) - v(dz)dt$, $\tilde{N}_2^\epsilon(dt, dz) := N_2(dt, dz) - \frac{1}{\epsilon}v(dz)dt$ are compensated Poisson random measure. For more detailed description, we refer to [33].

3.1. Mild solutions of SEEs with Lévy process

Considering the two time-scales SEEs with Lévy process (neglecting large jumps part)as:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^\epsilon &= [\mathbb{A}X_t^\epsilon + f(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)]dt + g(X_t^\epsilon)dW_t^1 + \int_{|z|<c} h(X_t^\epsilon, z)\tilde{N}_1(dt, dz) \\ dY_t^\epsilon &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}[\mathbb{A}Y_t^\epsilon + F(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)]dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}G(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)dW_t^2 \\ &+ \int_{|z|<c} H(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon, z)\tilde{N}_2^\epsilon(dt, dz), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where \mathbb{A} is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $S_t, t \geq 0$, which is introduced in Section 2 on \mathcal{H} , ϵ is a small positive parameter. $W_t^1, W_t^2, \tilde{N}_1(dt, dz)$ and $\tilde{N}_2^\epsilon(dt, dz)$ are mutually independent Brownian motion and compensated Poisson random measure. The drift coefficients and diffusion coefficients (f, g, h, F, G, H) of (3) are all real-valued measurable functions. The proof for the

ergodicity of the fast equation with frozen slow component can be found in [31].

We now introduce some definitions of solutions of (3) and discuss the existence and uniqueness results. If the semigroup generated by \mathbb{A} is denoted by $S_t, t \geq 0$, then the mild solutions $X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon$ of (3) are given by

$$\begin{cases} X_t^\epsilon &= X_0 S_t + \int_0^t S_{t-s} f(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon) ds + \int_0^t S_{t-s} g(X_s^\epsilon) dW_s^1 \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} S_{t-s} h(X_s^\epsilon, z) \tilde{N}_1(ds, dz), \\ Y_t^\epsilon &= Y_0 S_t^\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t S_{t-s}^\epsilon F(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon) ds + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_0^t S_{t-s}^\epsilon G(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon) dW_s^1 \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} S_{t-s}^\epsilon H(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon, z) \tilde{N}_2^\epsilon(ds, dz), \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where S_t^ϵ denotes the semigroup generated by differential operator $\frac{\mathbb{A}}{\epsilon}$.

Moreover, according to Itô formula[34, 33, 35], for $t \in [0, T]$, the following energy identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} &= \|X_0\|^{2p} + 2p \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle \mathbb{A} X_s^\epsilon, X_s^\epsilon \rangle ds + 2p \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle f(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon), X_s^\epsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds \\ &+ 2p \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle g(X_s^\epsilon), X_s^\epsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} dW_s^1 + p(2p-1) \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \|g(X_s^\epsilon)\|^2 ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} [\|X_s^\epsilon + h(X_s^\epsilon, z)\|^{2p} - \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p}] \tilde{N}_1(ds, dz) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} [\|X_s^\epsilon + h(X_s^\epsilon, z)\|^{2p} - \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p}] v(dz) ds \\ &- 2p \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle h(X_s^\epsilon, z), X_s^\epsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} v(dz) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} &= \|Y_0\|^{2p} + \frac{2p}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle \mathbb{A} Y_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon \rangle ds + \frac{2p}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle F(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon), Y_s^\epsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds \\ &+ \frac{2p}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_0^t \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle G(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon), Y_s^\epsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} dW_s^1 + \frac{p(2p-1)}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \|G(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon)\|^2 ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} [\|Y_s^\epsilon + H(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon, z)\|^{2p} - \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p}] \tilde{N}_2^\epsilon(ds, dz) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} [\|Y_s^\epsilon + H(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon, z)\|^{2p} - \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p}] v(dz) ds \\ &- \frac{2p}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle H(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon, z), Y_s^\epsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} v(dz) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\langle \mathbb{A}x, x \rangle = \mathbb{A}x \cdot x$ denotes the action of $\mathbb{A}x \in V^*$ on $x \in V$.

Convention. The letter C below with or without subscripts will denote positive constants whose value may change in different occasions. We will write the dependence of constants on parameters

explicitly if it is essential.

3.2. Hypotheses for the Coefficients of Two Time-scales SEEs

120 Here, we need to give some dissipative conditions [31, 25] to ensure the ergodicity for the fast motion (Hypothesis 1 and Hypothesis 4) and Lipschitz condition, growth condition to ensure the existence and uniqueness for (3) (Hypothesis 1-3).

Hypothesis 1.[31, 25] There exist constants $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$ and $\beta_3, \beta_4 \in \mathbb{R}$, which are independent of (x_1, y_1, y_2) , such that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathbb{A}x_1, x_1 \rangle &\leq -\beta_1 \|x_1\|^2, \\ y_1 \cdot F(x_1, y_1) &\leq -\beta_2 \|y_1\|^2 + \beta_3, \\ [F(x_1, y_1) - F(x_1, y_2)](y_1 - y_2) &\leq \beta_4 \|y_1 - y_2\|^2,\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Hypothesis 2. The drift and diffusion coefficients of (3) are globally Lipschitz continuous in x, y , i.e., $\forall x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist two positive constants C_1, C_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_2)\|^2 + \|g(x_1) - g(x_2)\|^2 + \|F(x_1, y_1) - F(x_2, y_2)\|^2 \\ &+ \|G(x_1, y_1) - G(x_2, y_2)\|^2 + \int_{|z|<c} \|h(x_1, z) - h(x_2, z)\|^2 v(dz) \\ &\leq C_1(\|x_1 - x_2\|^2 + \|y_1 - y_2\|^2),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{|z|<c} \|H(x_1, y_1, z) - H(x_2, y_2, z)\|^q v(dz) \leq C_2(\|x_1 - x_2\|^q + \|y_1 - y_2\|^q), q \geq 2.$$

Hypothesis 3. f is globally bounded and the drift and diffusion coefficients of (3) satisfy the linear 130 growth conditions, there exist three positive constants C_3 such that for all x_1, y_1

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f(x_1, y_1)\|^2 + \|g(x_1)\|^2 + \|F(x_1, y_1)\|^2 + \|G(x_1, y_1)\|^2 \leq C_3(1 + \|x_1\|^2 + \|y_1\|^2), \\ &\int_{|z|<c} \|h(x_1, z)\|^q v(dz) \leq C_4(1 + \|x_1\|^q), q \geq 2,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{|z|<c} \|H(x_1, y_1, z)\|^q v(dz) \leq C_5(\|x_1\|^q + \|y_1\|^q), q \geq 2.$$

It is easy to know that, in our setting Hypotheses 1-3, (3) has unique mild solutions[29, 33].

Hypothesis 4.[31] $\kappa = 2\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 - C_3 - C_5 > 0, \eta = 2\beta_1 - 2\beta_4 - C_1 - C_2 > 0$, where β_1 is the decay rate of \mathbb{A} , β_4 is the right monotonicity coefficient of F , C_1, C_2 are the growth rate of nonlinear term G and H .

Remark 1. Hypothesis 4 is a strong dissipative condition, it is very important to prove the ergodicity for the fast motion. The detailed proofs have been given by Xu and Miao [31].

4. Averaging Principle for Two Time-scales SEEs with Lévy Process

In this section, we first prove three key lemmas and then present the main result (Theorem 1) of the paper. Now, we construct a random process $Y_t^{xy}, x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}$, which is defined by the following SEEs:

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t^{xy} &= [\mathbb{A}Y_t^{xy} + F(x, Y_t^{xy})]dt + G(x, Y_t^{xy})dW_t^2 + \int_{|z|<c} H(x, Y_t^{xy}, z)\tilde{N}_2(dt, dz), \\ Y_0^{xy} &= y_0, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $F(x, Y_t^{xy}), G(x, Y_t^{xy})$ and $H(x, Y_t^{xy})$ are defined as the same as in (3).

Lemma 1. We assume that Hypotheses 1-4 hold, then there exists a constant C such that

$$E\|f(x, Y_t^{xy})ds - \bar{f}(x)\|^2 \leq Ce^{-\eta t}(1 + \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2),$$

where $\eta = 2\beta_1 - 2\beta_4 - C_1 - C_2 > 0$, $\bar{f}(x)$ satisfies the globally Lipschitz condition (Hypotheses 2-3)and

$$\bar{f}(x) = \int_{\mathcal{H}} f(x, y)\mu^x(dy), x \in \mathcal{H},$$

where μ^x denotes the unique invariant measure for (7). Refer to Theorem 4.4 in Xu's work [31] to obtain the proof.

Lemma 2. Let Hypotheses 1-4 be satisfied. For any $T > 0$ there exists a positive constant $C_{p,T} > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} \leq C_{p,T}.$$

Proof:

For $\|X_t^\epsilon\|^{2p}$, by energy identities (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|X_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} &= \|X_0\|^{2p} + 2p \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle \mathbb{A}X_s^\epsilon, X_s^\epsilon \rangle ds + 2p \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle f(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon), X_s^\epsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds \\
&\quad + 2p \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle g(X_s^\epsilon), X_s^\epsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} dW_s^1 + p(2p-1) \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \|g(X_s^\epsilon)\|^2 ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} [\|X_s^\epsilon + h(X_s^\epsilon, z)\|^{2p} - \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p}] \tilde{N}_1(ds, dz) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} [\|X_s^\epsilon + h(X_s^\epsilon, z)\|^{2p} - \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p}] v(dz) ds \\
&\quad - 2p \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle h(X_s^\epsilon, z), X_s^\epsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} v(dz) ds \\
&= \|X_0\|^{2p} + \sum_{i=1}^7 \Pi_t^i.
\end{aligned}$$

By Hypothesis 1 and Hypothesis 3, Young's inequality, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|X_0\|^{2p} + \Pi_t^1 + \Pi_t^2 + \Pi_t^4 &\leq \|X_0\|^{2p} - 2\beta_1 p \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + C_p \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds \\
&\leq C + C_p \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

For Π_t^6, Π_t^7 , according to Binomial theorem, we calculate the coefficients in the expansion of $(a+b)^{2p}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(a+b)^{2p} &= C_0^{2p} a^{2p} + C_1^{2p} a^{2p-1} b + C_2^{2p} a^{2p-2} b^2 \\
&\quad + \dots + C_{2p-2}^{2p} a^2 b^{2p-2} + C_{2p-1}^{2p} a b^{2p-1} + C_{2p}^{2p} b^{2p},
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $C_k^{2p} = \frac{(2p)!}{(2p-k)!k!}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2p$.

So, by Hypothesis 3 and Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_t^6 + \Pi_t^7 &= \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} [\|X_s^\epsilon + h(X_s^\epsilon, z)\|^{2p} - \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p}] v(dz) ds \\
&\quad - 2p \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle h(X_s^\epsilon, z), X_s^\epsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} v(dz) ds \\
&= \sum_{i=2}^{2p-2} C_i^{2p} \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-i} \|h(X_s^\epsilon, z)\|^i v(dz) ds \\
&\leq C_p \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + C.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, one can reach

$$E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} \leq C + C_p \int_0^t E \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} \|X_r^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \Pi_s^3 + E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \Pi_s^5.$$

Then, by Young's inequality and B-D-G inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \Pi_s^5 &\leq CE \left\{ \int_0^t \int_{|z| < c} [\|X_s^\epsilon + h(X_s^\epsilon, z)\|^{2p} - \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p}]^2 v(dz) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq CE \left\{ \int_0^t \int_{|z| < c} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2p} C_i^{2p} \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-i} \|h(X_s^\epsilon, z)\|^i \right]^2 v(dz) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq CE \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2p} \int_0^t \int_{|z| < c} \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{4p-2i} \|h(X_s^\epsilon, z)\|^{2i} v(dz) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq CE \left\{ \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{4p} ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C. \end{aligned}$$

Next, it is easy to get that

$$E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \Pi_s^3 \leq CE \left\{ \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{4p} ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} &\leq C + C_p \int_0^t E \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} \|X_r^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + CE \left\{ \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{4p} ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C + C_p \int_0^t E \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} \|X_r^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + CE \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C + C_p \int_0^t E \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} \|X_r^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + \frac{1}{2} E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} + C \int_0^t E \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds \\ &\leq Ce^{C_p T}. \end{aligned}$$

160 This is all of the proof of Lemma 2. ■

Lemma 3. Let Hypotheses 1-4 be satisfied. For any $T > 0$ there exists a positive constant $C_{p,T,\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3} > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} E \|Y_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} \leq C_{p,T,\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3}.$$

Proof:

Due to energy identity (6), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
E\|Y_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} &= \|Y_0\|^{2p} + \frac{2p}{\epsilon}E \int_0^t \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle \mathbb{A}Y_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon \rangle ds + \frac{2p}{\epsilon}E \int_0^t \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle F(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon), Y_s^\epsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds \\
&+ \frac{p(2p-1)}{\epsilon}E \int_0^t \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \|G(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon)\|^2 ds \\
&+ \frac{1}{\epsilon}E \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} [\|Y_s^\epsilon + H(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon, z)\|^{2p} - \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p}] v(dz) ds \\
&- \frac{2p}{\epsilon}E \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle H(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon, z), Y_s^\epsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} v(dz) ds = \|Y_0\|^{2p} + \sum_{i=1}^5 \Xi_t^i.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of Hypothesis 1 and Hypothesis 3, yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathbb{A}Y_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon \rangle &\leq -\beta_1 \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^2, \\
\langle F(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon), Y_s^\epsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq -\beta_2 \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^2 + \beta_3, \\
\|G(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon)\|^2 &\leq C_3(1 + \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^2 + \|X_s^\epsilon\|^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Thus, by Young's inequality and (9),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Y_0\|^{2p} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \Xi_t^i &\leq \|Y_0\|^{2p} + \frac{2p(-\beta_1 - \beta_2)}{\epsilon}E \int_0^t \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + \frac{2p\beta_3}{\epsilon}E \int_0^t \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} ds \\
&+ \frac{p(2p-1)}{\epsilon}C_3E \int_0^t \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} (1 + \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^2 + \|X_s^\epsilon\|^2) ds \\
&\leq \|Y_0\|^{2p} + \frac{C_{p,\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3}}{\epsilon}E \int_0^t \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + \frac{C'_p}{\epsilon}E \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + \frac{C'_p}{\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Binomial theorem (8), Young's inequality and Hypothesis 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_t^4 + \Xi_t^5 &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{i=2}^{2p} C_i^{2p} E \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-i} \|H(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon, z)\|^i v(dz) ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} C_5 \sum_{i=2}^{2p-2} C_i^{2p} E \int_0^t \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-i} (1 + \|X_s^\epsilon\|^i + \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^i) ds \\
&\leq \frac{C_p}{\epsilon} E \int_0^t \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + \frac{C'_p}{\epsilon} E \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + \frac{C'_p}{\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

With the help of Gronwall's inequality(see reference [32],p74), yields

$$\begin{aligned}
E\|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} &\leq \|Y_0\|^{2p} + \frac{C_{p,\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3}}{\epsilon}E \int_0^t \|Y_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + \frac{C'_p}{\epsilon}t \\
&\leq \|Y_0\|^{2p} e^{\frac{C_{p,\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3}}{\epsilon}T} + C'_p(e^{\frac{C_{p,\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3}}{\epsilon}T} - 1) \\
&\leq C_{p,T,\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is all of the proof of Lemma 3. ■

170 **Theorem 1.** Let Hypotheses 1-4 be satisfied. \bar{X}_t denotes the stochastic process in \mathbb{R} determined by the SEEs:

$$d\bar{X}_t = [\mathbb{A}\bar{X}_t + \bar{f}(\bar{X}_t)]dt + g(\bar{X}_t)dW_t^1 + \int_{|z|<c} h(\bar{X}_t, z)\tilde{N}_1(dt, dz). \quad (10)$$

Then, for $T > 0, p > 1$, we have

$$E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X_t^\epsilon - \bar{X}_t\|^{2p} \rightarrow 0, \quad (11)$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof: In order to prove the above Theorem 1, we divide the course of the proof in three steps. In Step 1, $\|X_t^\epsilon - \hat{X}_t^\epsilon\|^{2p}$ will be estimated, then we prove the other estimate $\|\hat{X}_t^\epsilon - \bar{X}_t\|^{2p}$ in Step 2. At last, through Step 1 and Step 2, the Theorem 1 will be obtained.

Step 1:

We consider a partition of $[0, T]$ into intervals of the same length Δ ($\Delta < 1$), then, for $t \in [k\Delta, (k+1)\Delta], k = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{T}{\Delta} \rfloor$, construct auxiliary processes \hat{Y}_t^ϵ and \hat{X}_t^ϵ , by means of the relations,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y}_t^\epsilon &= Y_{k\Delta}^\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{k\Delta}^t [\mathbb{A}\hat{Y}_s^\epsilon + F(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon)]ds + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{k\Delta}^t G(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon)dW_s^2 \\ &+ \int_{k\Delta}^t \int_{|z|<c} H(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon, z)\tilde{N}_2^\epsilon(ds, dz), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

180 and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}_t^\epsilon &= X_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{A}X_s^\epsilon ds + \int_0^t f(X_{[s/\Delta]\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon)ds + \int_0^t g(X_s^\epsilon)dW_s^1 \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} h(X_s^\epsilon, z)\tilde{N}_1(ds, dz), t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

To proceed, by the mild solution X_t^ϵ of (3), we make the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_t^\epsilon - X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon\|^{2p} &\leq 4^{2p-1} \|X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon (S_{t-k\Delta} - \mathbb{I})\|^{2p} + 4^{2p-1} \left\| \int_{k\Delta}^t S_{t-s} f(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon) ds \right\|^{2p} \\ &+ 4^{2p-1} \left\| \int_{k\Delta}^t S_{t-s} g(X_s^\epsilon) dW_s^1 \right\|^{2p} \\ &+ 4^{2p-1} \left\| \int_{k\Delta}^t \int_{|z|<c} S_{t-s} h(X_s^\epsilon, z) \tilde{N}_1(ds, dz) \right\|^{2p}, \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where \mathbb{I} denotes the identity operator.

Firstly, since f is globally bounded, then, by Hölder's inequality and Hypothesis 2, detailed computation leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
EI_2 &= 4^{2p-1} \left\| \int_{k\Delta}^t S_{t-s} f(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon) ds \right\|^{2p} \\
&\leq C \|t - k\Delta\|^{2p-1} \int_{k\Delta}^t \|f(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon)\|^{2p} ds \\
&\leq C \|t - k\Delta\|^{2p}.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Secondly, through B-D-G inequality, Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
EI_3 &= 4^{2p-1} E \left[\int_{k\Delta}^t \|S_{t-s} g(X_s^\epsilon)\|^2 ds \right]^p \\
&\leq C \|t - k\Delta\|^{p-1} E \int_{k\Delta}^t \|g(X_s^\epsilon)\|^{2p} ds \\
&\leq C \|t - k\Delta\|^{p-1} \int_{k\Delta}^t (1 + E \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p}) ds \\
&\leq C \|t - k\Delta\|^p,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
EI_4 &\leq CE \int_{k\Delta}^t \int_{|z|<c} \|S_{t-s} h(X_s^\epsilon, z)\|^{2p} v(dz) ds \\
&\quad + CE \left\{ \int_{k\Delta}^t \int_{|z|<c} \|S_{t-s} h(X_s^\epsilon, z)\|^2 v(dz) ds \right\}^p \\
&\leq CE \int_{k\Delta}^t [1 + \|X_s^\epsilon\|^{2p}] ds \leq C \|t - k\Delta\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

At last, we will estimate the first term I_1 of (14). To proceed, we define three functions and establish a key lemma.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon_t^\epsilon &:= \int_0^t S_{t-s} f(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon) ds, \\
\Phi_t^\epsilon &:= \int_0^t S_{t-s} g(X_s^\epsilon) dW_s^1, \\
\Psi_t^\epsilon &:= \int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} S_{t-s} h(X_s^\epsilon, z) \tilde{N}_1(ds, dz).
\end{aligned}$$

¹⁹⁰ Since, the semigroup $\{S_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is analytic, the trajectories of $\Upsilon_t^\epsilon, \Phi_t^\epsilon, \Psi_t^\epsilon$ are Hölder continuous-valued, with some $\theta \in (0, 1)$. We will give some estimations on the slow component X_t^ϵ as a process-valued in

$D((-\mathbb{A})^\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{4p} \wedge \frac{p-1}{4p}), p > 1$.

Lemma 4. For any $t \in [0, T]$, and $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{4p} \wedge \frac{p-1}{4p}), p > 1$, there exists a constant $C_{\alpha,p,T}$ such that

$$E\|X_t^\epsilon\|_\alpha^{2p} \leq C_{\alpha,p,T}.$$

Proof:

The estimations of $\Upsilon_t^\epsilon, \Phi_t^\epsilon$ can be obtained by [32]. Here, we give the proof of third term Ψ_t^ϵ . For the third term, by factorization formula, we have

$$\Psi_t^\epsilon = C_\alpha \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} S_{t-s} U_\alpha^\epsilon(s) ds,$$

with

$$U_\alpha^\epsilon(s) = \int_0^s \int_{|z|<c} (s-r)^{-\alpha} S_{s-r} h(X_r^\epsilon, z) \tilde{N}_1(dr, dz).$$

Note that, for any $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{4p} \wedge \frac{p-1}{4p})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi_t^\epsilon\|_\alpha^{2p} &\leq C_\alpha \left\{ \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|U_\alpha^\epsilon(s)\|_\alpha ds \right\}^{2p} \\ &\leq C_\alpha \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|U_\alpha^\epsilon(s)\|_\alpha^{2p} \left\{ \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} ds \right\}^{2p} \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,p,T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|U_\alpha^\epsilon(s)\|_\alpha^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, note that for any $t > 0$, the operate $(-\mathbb{A})^\alpha S_t$ is bounded and its operator norm $\|(-\mathbb{A})^\alpha S_t\| \leq M_\alpha t^{-\alpha}$ [8]. Then, by B-D-G inequality [27], Hölder inequality and Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} E\|\Psi_t^\epsilon\|_\alpha^{2p} &\leq C_{\alpha,p,T} E \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left\| \int_0^s \int_{|z|<c} (s-r)^{-\alpha} (-\mathbb{A})^\alpha S_{s-r} h(X_r^\epsilon, z) \tilde{N}_1(dr, dz) \right\|^{2p} \right] \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,p,T} E \left[\int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} (s-r)^{-4p\alpha} \|h(X_r^\epsilon, z)\|^{2p} v(dz) dr \right] \\ &\quad + C_{\alpha,p,T} E \left[\int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} (s-r)^{-4\alpha} \|h(X_r^\epsilon, z)\|^2 v(dz) dr \right]^p \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,p,T} E \left[\int_0^t \int_{|z|<c} (s-r)^{-4p\alpha} \|h(X_r^\epsilon, z)\|^{2p} v(dz) dr \right] \\ &\quad + C_{\alpha,p,T} \left[\int_0^t (s-r)^{\frac{4p\alpha}{1-p}} dr \right]^{p-1} E \int_0^t \left[\int_{|z|<c} \|h(X_r^\epsilon, z)\|^2 v(dz) \right]^p dr \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,p,T} \int_0^T E[1 + \|X_r^\epsilon\|^{2p}] dr \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,p,T}, \end{aligned}$$

holds. Then, by $\|S_t X_0\|_\alpha^{2p} \leq \|X_0\|_\alpha^{2p}$, we have $E\|X_t^\epsilon\|_\alpha^{2p} \leq C_{\alpha,p,T}$.

This is all of the proof of Lemma 4. ■

To proceed, we give the estimation of I_1 , due to [8], there exists a constant $C_\alpha > 0$ such that for all $x \in D((-\mathbb{A})^\alpha)$,

$$I_1 = \|X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon(S_{t-k\Delta} - \mathbb{I})\| \leq C_\alpha \|t - k\Delta\|^\alpha \|X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon\|_\alpha,$$

and then, according to Lemma 4, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} EI_1 &= 4^{2p-1} \|X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon(S_{t-k\Delta} - \mathbb{I})\|^{2p} \leq 4^{2p-1} C_\alpha \|t - k\Delta\|^{2p\alpha} E\|X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon\|_\alpha^{2p} \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,p,T} \|t - k\Delta\|^{2p\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

It then follows from (15),(16),(17),(18) that

$$\begin{aligned} E\|X_t^\epsilon - X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon\|^{2p} &\leq C_{\alpha,p,T} \|t - k\Delta\|^{2p\alpha} + C_{\alpha,p,T} \|t - k\Delta\| \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,p,T} \|t - k\Delta\|^{2p\alpha} \leq C_{\alpha,p,T} \Delta^{2p\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Note that the result also holds for $p = 1$ [31],

$$E\|X_t^\epsilon - X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon\|^2 \leq C_{\alpha,p,T} \Delta^{2\alpha}. \quad (20)$$

Next, it follows from definitions of Y_t^ϵ (3) and \hat{Y}_t^ϵ (12), by energy identities (6), for $t \in [k\Delta, (k+1)\Delta]$, $E\|Y_t^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_t^\epsilon\|^{2p}$ will be estimated.

$$\begin{aligned} &E\|Y_t^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} \\ &= \frac{2p}{\epsilon} E \int_{k\Delta}^t \|Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle \mathbb{A}Y_s^\epsilon - \mathbb{A}\hat{Y}_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon \rangle ds \\ &+ \frac{2p}{\epsilon} E \int_{k\Delta}^t \|Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle F(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon) - F(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon), Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon \rangle \mathcal{H} ds \\ &+ \frac{p(2p-1)}{\epsilon} E \int_{k\Delta}^t \|Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \|G(X_s^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon) - G(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon)\|^2 ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} E \int_{k\Delta}^t \int_{|z|<c} [\| (Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon) + (H(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon, z) - H(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon, z)) \|^2 - \|Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon\|^{2p}] v(dz) ds \\ &- \frac{2p}{\epsilon} E \int_{k\Delta}^t \int_{|z|<c} \|Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle H(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon, z) - H(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon, z), Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon \rangle \mathcal{H} v(dz) ds \\ &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5. \end{aligned}$$

210 Firstly, from Hypotheses (1-4) and Yong's inequality, it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 + J_2 + J_3 &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} E \int_{k\Delta}^t (\|X_s^\epsilon - X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon\|^{2p} + \|Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon\|^{2p}) ds \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} E \int_{k\Delta}^t \|Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + \frac{C_{\alpha,p,T} \Delta^{2p\alpha+1}}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by equality (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_4 + J_5 &= \sum_{i=2}^{2p} C_i^{2p} E \int_{k\Delta}^t \int_{|z|<c} \|Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-i} \|H(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon, z) - H(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon, z)\|^i v(dz) ds \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} \sum_{i=2}^{2p} E \int_{k\Delta}^t \|Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-i} (\|X_s^\epsilon - X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon\|^i + \|Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon\|^i) ds \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} E \int_{k\Delta}^t \|Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + \frac{C_{\alpha,p,T} \Delta^{2p\alpha+1}}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $t \in [k\Delta, (k+1)\Delta]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E \|Y_t^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon} E \int_{k\Delta}^t \|Y_s^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + \frac{C_{\alpha,p,T} \Delta^{2p\alpha+1}}{\epsilon} \\ &\leq \frac{C_{\alpha,p,T} \Delta^{2p\alpha+1}}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{C}{\epsilon} \Delta}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

To proceed, we give another key lemma to complete the proof of Step 1.

Lemma 5. For any $t \in [0, T]$, and $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{4p} \wedge \frac{p-1}{4p})$, we have

$$E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X_t^\epsilon - \hat{X}_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} \leq C_{\alpha,p,T} \left(\frac{\Delta^{2p\alpha+1}}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{C}{\epsilon} \Delta} + \Delta^{2p\alpha} \right) e^{C_p T}.$$

Proof:

We begin with

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_t^\epsilon - \hat{X}_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} &= 2p \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon - \hat{X}_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle \mathbb{A} X_s^\epsilon - \mathbb{A} \hat{X}_s^\epsilon, X_s^\epsilon - \hat{X}_s^\epsilon \rangle ds \\ &\quad + 2p \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon - \hat{X}_s^\epsilon\|^{2p-2} \langle f(X_s^\epsilon, Y_s^\epsilon) - f(X_{[s/\Delta]\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon), X_s^\epsilon - \hat{X}_s^\epsilon \rangle \mathcal{H} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma 5 and (21), for any $t \in [0, T]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|X_t^\epsilon - \hat{X}_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} &\leq C_p \int_0^t E \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} \|X_r^\epsilon - \hat{X}_r^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds + C_p \int_0^t E \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} \|X_r^\epsilon - X_{\lceil r/\Delta \rceil \Delta}^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds \\
&+ C_p \int_0^t E \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} \|Y_r^\epsilon - \hat{Y}_r^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds \\
&\leq \frac{C_{\alpha,p,T} \Delta^{2p\alpha+1}}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{C}{\epsilon} \Delta} + C_{\alpha,p,T} \Delta^{2p\alpha} + C_p \int_0^t E \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} \|X_r^\epsilon - \hat{X}_r^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds \\
&\leq C_{\alpha,p,T} \left(\frac{\Delta^{2p\alpha+1}}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{C}{\epsilon} \Delta} + \Delta^{2p\alpha} \right) e^{C_p T}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is all of the proof of Lemma 5. ■

Step 2:

220 In this step, we will estimate $E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\hat{X}_t^\epsilon - \bar{X}_t^\epsilon\|^{2p}$. It follows from the definitions of \bar{X}_t and \hat{X}_t^ϵ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{X}_t^\epsilon - \bar{X}_t^\epsilon &= \int_0^t S_{t-s} [f(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(X_t^\epsilon)] ds \\
&+ \int_0^t S_{t-s} [\bar{f}(X_s^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(\hat{X}_t^\epsilon)] ds + \int_0^t S_{t-s} [\bar{f}(\hat{X}_t^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(\bar{X}_t^\epsilon)] ds \\
&+ \int_0^t S_{t-s} [g(X_s^\epsilon) - g(\hat{X}_s^\epsilon)] dW_s^1 + \int_0^t S_{t-s} [g(\hat{X}_s^\epsilon) - g(\bar{X}_s^\epsilon)] dW_s^1 \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{|z| < c} S_{t-s} [h(X_s^\epsilon, z) - h(\hat{X}_s^\epsilon, z)] \tilde{N}_1(ds, dz) \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{|z| < c} S_{t-s} [h(\hat{X}_s^\epsilon, z) - h(\bar{X}_s^\epsilon, z)] \tilde{N}_1(ds, dz) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^7 \mathcal{O}_i(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, contractive property of semigroup S_t , B-D-G inequality, the globally Lipschitz continuity of \bar{f} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left(\sum_{i=2,4,6} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\mathcal{O}_i(t)\|^{2p} \right) &\leq C_T E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^t \|X_s^\epsilon - \hat{X}_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds \\
&\leq C_{\alpha,p,T} \left(\frac{\Delta^{2p\alpha+1}}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{C}{\epsilon} \Delta} + \Delta^{2p\alpha} \right) e^{C_p T}.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Similarly, it is also easy to derive that the estimate for any $u \in [0, T]$

$$E \left(\sum_{i=3,5,7} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq u} \|\mathcal{O}_i(t)\|^{2p} \right) \leq C_T \int_0^u E \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} \|\hat{X}_r^\epsilon - \bar{X}_r^\epsilon\|^{2p} ds. \tag{23}$$

Next, to deal with the first term, by the boundedness of the function f, \bar{f} , this yields

$$\begin{aligned} E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\mathcal{O}_1(t)\|^{2p}) &\leq E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \int_0^t S_{t-s} [f(X_{[s/\Delta]\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(X_s^\epsilon)] ds \right\|^{2p} \\ &\leq C_T E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \int_0^t S_{t-s} [f(X_{[s/\Delta]\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(X_s^\epsilon)] ds \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

To deal with $E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\mathcal{O}_1(t)\|^2)$ with $t \in [k\Delta, (k+1)\Delta)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_1(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{k-1} \int_{k\Delta}^{(k+1)\Delta} S_{t-s} [f(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon)] ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{k-1} \int_{k\Delta}^{(k+1)\Delta} S_{t-s} [\bar{f}(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(X_s^\epsilon)] ds \\ &\quad + \int_{k\Delta}^t S_{t-s} [f(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(X_s^\epsilon)] ds := \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_{1i}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Due to (20), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E \|\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{O}_{12}(t)\|^2 &= E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{k-1} \int_{k\Delta}^{(k+1)\Delta} S_{t-s} [\bar{f}(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(X_s^\epsilon)] ds \right\|^2 \\ &\leq C_T \int_0^T E \|X_s^\epsilon - X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon\|^2 ds \\ &\leq C_{\alpha, T} \Delta^{2\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and by Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \|\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{O}_{13}(t)\|^2 &= E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \int_{k\Delta}^t S_{t-s} [f(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(X_s^\epsilon)] ds \right\|^2 \\ &\leq C_T \int_{k\Delta}^t E(1 + \|X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon\|^2 + \|\hat{Y}_s^\epsilon\|^2 + \|X_s^\epsilon\|^2) ds \\ &\leq C_T \Delta. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Next, by a time shift transformation, we note that it follows from the definition of \hat{Y}_s^ϵ that for $s \in [0, T]$, the process $\hat{Y}_{k\Delta+s}^\epsilon$ coincides in distribution with the process, $Y_{\frac{s}{\epsilon}}^{X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, Y_{k\Delta}^\epsilon}$ defined by (7). Since it is similar to the result in [31], so we omit the proof here and we only have to choose the Brownian motion W_t^1 and Poisson random measure \tilde{N}_t^1 in (3) independent of $X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, Y_{k\Delta}^\epsilon$.

According to Lemma 4.1 in [31] and Eq.(4.10) in [25], we obtain the following lemma,

Lemma 6. Suppose that Hypotheses 1-4 hold. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that have

$$E \left\| \int_0^{\frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}} S_{\Delta-\epsilon s} [f(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_{s+k\Delta}^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon)] ds \right\|^2 \leq C \frac{\Delta}{\epsilon},$$

where C is independent of (Δ, ϵ) .

To proceed, as for $\mathcal{O}_{11}(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\mathcal{O}_{11}(t)\|^2 &= E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{k-1} S_{t-(k+1)\Delta} \int_{k\Delta}^{(k+1)\Delta} S_{(k+1)\Delta-s} [f(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon)] ds \right\|^2 \\ &\leq kC \sum_{k=0}^{k-1} E \left\| \int_{k\Delta}^{(k+1)\Delta} S_{(k+1)\Delta-s} [f(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon)] ds \right\|^2 \\ &\leq k^2 C \max_{0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{T}{\Delta} \rfloor - 1} E \left\| \int_{k\Delta}^{(k+1)\Delta} S_{(k+1)\Delta-s} [f(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_s^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon)] ds \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \lfloor \frac{T}{\Delta} \rfloor^2 \epsilon^2 C \max_{0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{T}{\Delta} \rfloor - 1} E \left\| \int_0^{\frac{\Delta}{\epsilon}} S_{\Delta-\epsilon s} [f(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon, \hat{Y}_{s\epsilon+k\Delta}^\epsilon) - \bar{f}(X_{k\Delta}^\epsilon)] ds \right\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

then, by Lemma 6, we have

$$E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\mathcal{O}_{11}(t)\|^2 \leq C_T \frac{\epsilon}{\Delta}. \quad (27)$$

Using the above estimation (25),(26),(27), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\mathcal{O}_1(t)\|^{2p} \right) &\leq C\Delta + C_{\alpha,T} \Delta^{2\alpha} + C_T \frac{\epsilon}{\Delta} \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,T} \Delta^{2\alpha} + C_T \frac{\epsilon}{\Delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

In terms of above discussion, from (22),(23),(28) and through Gronwall's inequality, it is easy to have

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq u} \|\hat{X}_t^\epsilon - \bar{X}_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} &\leq C_{\alpha,T} \Delta^{2\alpha} + C_T \frac{\epsilon}{\Delta} + C_{\alpha,p,T} \left(\frac{\Delta^{2p\alpha+1}}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{C}{\epsilon}\Delta} + \Delta^{2p\alpha} \right) e^{C_p T} \\ &\quad + C_T \int_0^u E \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} \|\hat{X}_r^\epsilon - \bar{X}_r^\epsilon\|^{2p} dr \\ &\leq [C_{\alpha,T} \Delta^{2\alpha} + C_T \frac{\epsilon}{\Delta} + C_{\alpha,p,T} \left(\frac{\Delta^{2p\alpha+1}}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{C}{\epsilon}\Delta} + \Delta^{2p\alpha} \right) e^{C_p T}] e^{C_p T}. \end{aligned}$$

240 **Step3 :**

In terms of the conclusions of Step 1 and Step 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X_t^\epsilon - \bar{X}_t\|^2 &= E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X_t^\epsilon - \hat{X}_t^\epsilon + \hat{X}_t^\epsilon - \bar{X}_t\|^{2p} \\
&\leq 2^{2p-1} E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X_t^\epsilon - \hat{X}_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} + 2^{2p-1} E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\hat{X}_t^\epsilon - \bar{X}_t\|^{2p} \\
&\leq [C_{\alpha,T} \Delta^{2\alpha} + C_T \frac{\epsilon}{\Delta} + C_{\alpha,p,T} (\frac{\Delta^{2p\alpha+1}}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{C}{\epsilon} \Delta} + \Delta^{2p\alpha}) e^{C_p T}] e^{C_p T} \\
&\quad + C_{\alpha,p,T} (\frac{\Delta^{2p\alpha+1}}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{C}{\epsilon} \Delta} + \Delta^{2p\alpha}) e^{C_p T} \\
&\leq C_{\alpha,p,T} [\Delta^{2\alpha} + \Delta^{2p\alpha} + \frac{\epsilon}{\Delta} + \frac{\Delta^{2p\alpha+1}}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{C}{\epsilon} \Delta}].
\end{aligned}$$

For $t \in [0, T]$, selecting $\Delta = \epsilon \sqrt[2]{-\ln \epsilon}$, we obtain

$$E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\hat{X}_t^\epsilon - \bar{X}_t^\epsilon\|^{2p} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0, t \in [0, T]$.

This is all the proof of Theorem 1. ■

Remark 2. The rate of convergence scale like $\sqrt[2]{-\ln \epsilon}$ is determined as a byproduct.

Remark 3. To compare with the work of Xu and Miao [31] that L^2 -strong averaging principle for slow-fast SPDEs with Poisson random measures was established, in this paper, we cope with high order moments which possesses a good robustness and can be applied in computations in statistic, finance and other aspects.

250 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NSF of China (11572247), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities and Innovation Foundation for Doctor Dissertation of Northwestern Polytechnical University. The authors are very grateful to Professor Jinqiao Duan for helpful comments. The authors would like to thank the referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and for the clarification comments which lead to an improvement of the presentation of the paper.

References

References

- [1] M. Koper, Bifurcations of mixed-mode oscillations in a threevariable autonomous Van der Pol-Duffing model with a cross-shaped phase diagram, *Physica D.* 80(1995)72-94.

- 260 [2] R. Larter, C. Steinmetz and B. Aguda, Fast-slow variable analysis of the transition to mixed-mode oscillations and chaos in the peroxidase reaction, *J.Chem. Phys.*89(1988)6504-6514.
- [3] M. Krupa et al, Mixed-mode oscillations in a three time-scale model for the dopaminergic neuron, *Chaos* 18(2008)015106.
- [4] B. Van der Pol, A theory of the amplitude of free and forced triode vibrations, *Radio Rev.*1(1920)754-762.
- [5] J. Dubbeldam and B. Krauskopf, Self-pulsations in lasers with saturable absorber: dynamics and bifurcations, *Opt. Commun.* 159(1999)325-338.
- [6] T. Erneux and P. Mandel, Bifurcation phenomena in a laser with a saturable absorber, *Z. Phys. B.*44(1981)365-374.
- 270 [7] N.Ikeda, S.Watanabe, Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes, NorthHolland/Kodansha, New York, NY, 1989.
- [8] A. Pazy, Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations. Springer Science and Business Media, 2012.
- [9] J. Bouchaud, A.Georges., Anomalous diffusion in disordered media: statistic mechanics, models and physical applications. *Physics Reports.*195(1990)127-293.
- [10] J. Duan, An Introduction to Stochastic Dynamics, Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [11] J. Duan, W. Wang, Effective dynamics of stochastic partial differential equations, Elsevier, 2014.
- [12] R. Khasminskii, On the averaging principle for stochastic differential Itô equations, *Kybernetika.*4(1968)260-279.
- 280 [13] M. Freidlin and A. Wentzell, Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems, Springer, New York, 1998.
- [14] A. Veretennikov, On the averaging principle for systems of stochastic differential equations, *Math. USSR-Sbornik.* 69 (1991) 271-284.
- [15] Y.Xu, J. Duan, et al., An averaging principle for stochastic dynamical systems with Lévy noise. *Physica D.* 240(2011)1395-1401.
- [16] Y.Xu, R. Guo, et al., Stochastic averaging principle for dynamical systems with fractional Brownian motion, *Discrete. Cont. Dyn-B.* 19(2014)1197-1212.

- [17] Y.Xu, B.Pei, Y.Li., An averaging principle for stochastic differential delay equations with fractional Brownian motion, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* Article ID 479195,2014.
- 290 [18] T.Taniguchi, The existence and uniqueness of energy solutions to local non-Lipschitz stochastic evolution equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 340(2009)197-208.
- [19] Y.Xu, B.Pei, G.Guo., Existence and stability of solutions to non-Lipschitz stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy noise, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 263(2015)398-409.
- [20] J. Golec, G. Ladde, Averaging principle and systems of singularly perturbed stochastic differential equations, *J. Math. Phys.* 31 (1990) 1116-1123.
- [21] D. Givon, Strong convergence rate for two-time-scale jump-diffusion stochastic differential systems, *SIAM J. Multiscale Model. Simul.* 6 (2007)577-594.
- [22] D. Liu, Strong convergence of principle of averaging for multiscale stochastic dynamical systems, *Commun. Math. Sci.*8(2010) 999-1020.
- 300 [23] H. Fu, L. Wang, Y. Wang. J.Liu, Strong convergence rate in averaging principle for stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo system with two time-scales, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 416 (2014) 609-628.
- [24] W. Wang, A.J. Roberts, Average and deviation for slow-fast stochastic partial differential equations, *J. Differential Equations.* 253 (2012) 1265-1286.
- [25] H. Fu and J. Duan, An averaging principle for two time-scales stochastic partial differential equations, *Stoch. Dyn.* 11 (2011) 353-367.
- [26] E. Hausenblas, Existence, uniqueness and regularity of SPDEs driven by Poisson random measures, *Electron. J. Probab.* 10 (2005)1496-1546.
- [27] D. Applebaum, Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus, second ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2009.
- 310 [28] K.Sato, Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [29] G.DaPrato, J.Zabczyk, Stochastic Equations In Infinite Dimensions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [30] Y.Xu, B.Pei, Y.Li, Approximation properties for solutions to non-Lipschitz stochastic differential equations with Lévy noise, *Math. Method. Appl. Sci.*30(2015)2120-2131.

- [31] J.Xu, Y. Miao, et al., Strong averaging principle for slow-fast SPDEs with poisson random measures, *Discrete. Cont. Dyn-B.* 20(2015)2233-2256.
- [32] H. Fu, J. Liu, Strong convergence in stochastic averaging for two time-scales stochastic partial differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 384 (2011) 70-86.
- 320 [33] S. Peszat and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations with Lévy Noise*, Encyclopedia Mathematics, Cambridge University, 2007.
- [34] T.Taniguchi, The existence and asymptotic behaviour of solutions to non-Lipschitz stochastic functional evolution equations driven by Poisson jumps, *Stochastics*.82(2010)339-363.
- [35] P. Chow, *Stochastic partial differential equations*, CRC Press, 2014.
- [36] J.Luo, T.Taniguchi, The existence and uniqueness for non-Lipschitz stochastic neutral delay evolution equations driven by Poisson jumps, *Stoch. Dyn.* 9(1)(2009)135-152.
- [37] J.Luo, Fixed point and exponential stability of mild solutions of stochastic partial differential equations with delays, *J.Math. Anal. Appl.*342(2008)753-760.
- [38] Y.Xu, B.Pei, J. Wu, Stochastic averaging principle for differential equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients driven by fractional Brownian motion, *Stochastic and Dynamics*. In Press.
- 330 [39] B. Boufoussi and S. Hajji, Successive approximation of neutral functional stochastic differential equations with jumps, *Stat. Probabil. Lett.* 80(2010)324-332.
- [40] J. Golec, Stochastic averaging principle for systems with pathwise uniqueness, *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* 13(1995)307-322.
- [41] S. Albeverio, J.L. Wu, and T.S. Zhang, Parabolic SPDEs driven poisson white noise, *Stoch.Process. Appl.* 74 (1998)21-36.
- [42] E. Hausenblas, SPDEs driven by Poisson random measure with non-Lipschitz coefficients, Existence results, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields.* 137 (2007) 161-200.
- [43] C. Knoche, Mild solutions of SPDEs driven by Poisson noise in infinite dimension and their dependence on initial conditions, Thesis, Bellfield University, 2005.
- 340 [44] V. Mandrekar and B. Rudiger, Existence and uniqueness of path wise solutions for stochastic integral equations driven by Lévy noise on separable Banach spaces, *Stochastics.* 78 (2006) 189-212.

- [45] C. Prévôt, R. Michael, A concise course on stochastic partial differential equations, Berlin: Springer, 2007.
- [46] G. Chen, J. Duan, J. Zhang, Slow foliation of a slow-fast stochastic evolutionary system, J. Funct. Anal. 267(2014) 2663-2697.